

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/724,744	PIENKOS, JOHN THADDEUS	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3625	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) CUONG H. NGUYEN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. John T. Pienkos.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 September 2004
**Time:** 11 am EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *A postcard receipt with USPTO postmark of 9/13/2004.*
**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:


N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*A possibility of filing a petition to revive the case since USPTO's evidence of receiving a response for the Office Action (mailed on 3/08/04) is 9/13/2004 (from USPTO's postcard receipt)*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)